MRS E EDWARDS

Change of use of land from agricultural to domestic at Ilbeare, Cattlewash, Fitzroy Road, Norton Fitzwarren (retention of works already undertaken).

Location: CATTLEWASH, ILBEARE, FITZROY ROAD, NORTON FITZWARREN, TAUNTON, TA2 6PL Grid Reference: 319428.127931 Retention of Building/Works etc.

Recommendation

Recommended decision: Conditional Approval

Recommended Conditions (if applicable)

- 1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:
 - (A4) DrNo Location Plan

(A4) Site Plan

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

2. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (GPDO) or any order revoking and re-enacting the 2015 Order with or without modification, no development as identified in Class E or Class F, Part 1, Schedule 2 of the GPDO is permissible, other than that expressly authorised by this permission shall be carried out without the further grant of planning permission.

Reason: To ensure that the development does not harm the amenities of the neighbouring property or the character and appearance of the area.

Notes to Applicant

- 1. In accordance with paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework the Council has worked in a positive and pro-active way and has imposed planning conditions to enable the grant of planning permission.
- 2. Development, insofar as it affects the rights of way should not be started, and the rights of way should be kept open for public use until the necessary Order

(temporary closure/stopping up/diversion) or other authorisation has come into effect/ been granted. Failure to comply with this request may result in the developer being prosecuted if the path is built on or otherwise interfered with

Proposal

This application seeks retrospective permission for the change of use of an area of land to the east of Cattlewash from agricultural to domestic use.

Site Description

The site is a long parcel of land, measuring approximately 3600 sq metres, located to the east of the residential curtilage of the property known as Cattlewash. There is an existing dog kennel within this area of land which has been deemed to be permitted development by the Council. The kennel and area of land is used by the applicants dogs.

The site is bound by typical rural tall trees and hedges to the south and east and a wooden fence binds the west and north. A watercourse runs immediately adjacent to the north boundary of the site. The site is accessed from an unclassified road which passes the site. There are two adjoining properties known as Illbeare and Willows Watch to the north east.

There is also a public footpath accessed at the entrance to the site which then proceeds across the adjoining field to the south east which meets up with Langford Road and another footpath T/15/50 which crosses the proposal site and also meets up with Langford Road.

Relevant Planning History

20/12/0032 Certificate of Lawful Development for the continued use of building as a residential dwelling – Approval – 20/11/2012.

20/17/0017 Demolition and erection of replacement dwelling with associated works-Conditional Approval – 30/10/2017.

Consultation Responses

KINGSTON ST MARY PARISH COUNCIL - Kingston St Mary Parish Council has reviewed the planning application and objects to the change of use of the land from agricultural to domestic. It is considered detrimental and inappropriate to introduce such a large domestic area into a predominantly agricultural setting, which would adversely affect the rural character of the area.

The Parish Council would like to see this planning application withdrawn and resubmitted with an application which is acceptable to the applicant and the majority of residents residing in the Fitzroy Hamlet.

SCC - TRANSPORT DEVELOPMENT GROUP – Refer to Standing Advice.

PLANNING ENFORCEMENT – would like to be informed of the outcome. PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY - We have no objections to the proposal, subject to the following:

1. Specific Comments

The local planning authority needs to be confident that the applicant can demonstrate that they have an all-purpose vehicular right to the property along path T 15/50. If they are unable to and permission is granted, then the local planning authority could potentially be encouraging criminal activity through permitting driving on a public path without lawful authority.

1. General Comments

Any proposed works must not encroach onto the width of the PROW.

The following bold text must be included as an informative note on any permission granted:

Development, insofar as it affects the rights of way should not be started, and the rights of way should be kept open for public use until the necessary Order (temporary closure/stopping up/diversion) or other authorisation has come into effect/ been granted. Failure to comply with this request may result in the developer being prosecuted if the path is built on or otherwise interfered with.

The health and safety of the public using the PROW must be taken into consideration during works to carry out the proposed development. Somerset County Council (SCC) has maintenance responsibilities for the surface of a PROW, but only to a standard suitable for the public use. SCC will not be responsible for putting right any damage occurring to the surface of a PROW resulting from vehicular use during or after works to carry out the proposal. It should be noted that it is an offence to drive a vehicle along a public footpath, public bridleway or restricted byway unless the driver has lawful authority (private rights) to do so.

If it is considered that the development would result in any of the outcomes listed below, then authorisation for these works must be sought from Somerset County Council Rights of Way Group:

- A PROW being made less convenient for continued public use.
- New furniture being needed along a PROW.
- Installing any apparatus within or across the PROW.
- Changes to the surface of a PROW being needed.
- Changes to the existing drainage arrangements associated with the PROW.

If the work involved in carrying out this proposed development would:

- make a PROW less convenient for continued public use; or
- create a hazard to users of a PROW,

then a temporary closure order will be necessary and a suitable alternative route must be provided. For more information, please visit Somerset County Council's Rights of Way pages to apply for a temporary closure:

http://www.somerset.gov.uk/environment-and-planning/rights-of-way/apply-for-a-

Landscaping - no comment.

Representations Received

4 objections have been received which raised concerns regarding:

- The revoked Certificate of Lawfulness should be discounted;
- The size of the area of land;
- The use of this agricultural land in open countryside;
- · Potential significant environmental impact;
- Use of the area of land for dogs;
- The potential increase in number of dogs;
- The precedent it may set;
- Future building on the land;
- The use of the footpath;
- Restrictions and conditions that should be imposed; and
- Noise.

Planning Policy Context

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that applications are determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The development plan for Taunton Deane comprises the Taunton Deane Core Strategy (2012), the Taunton Site Allocations and Development Management Plan (2016), the Taunton Town Centre Area Action Plan (2008), Somerset Minerals Local Plan (2015), and Somerset Waste Core Strategy (2013).

Relevant policies of the development plan are listed below.

- DM1 General requirements,
- SB1 Settlement Boundaries,
- DM2 Development in the countryside,
- CP8 Environment,
- CP1 Climate change,

Determining issues and considerations

The main issues in the determination of this application are the principle of the change of use, and whether there are any design and amenity implications.

In order to maintain the quality of the rural environment and ensure a sustainable approach to development, proposals outside of the boundaries of settlements identified in Core Strategy policy SP1 will be treated as being within open countryside and assessed against Core Strategy policies CP1, CP8 and DM2.

Core Policy DM2 states that outside of defined settlement limits, a number of uses

will be supported.

The list of acceptable uses within such areas under this policy allows for:

- 1. Community Uses;
- 2. Class B Business Use;
- 3. Holiday and Tourism;
- 4. Agriculture, Forestry and related uses;
- 5. Replacement Dwellings;
- 6. Affordable Housing;
- 7. Conversion of existing buildings; and
- 8. Development for essential utilities infrastructure.

Whilst the change of use of land to domestic is not in the list of uses above, this area of land is adjacent to two other domestic curtilages and arguably if the applicant was to apply for one of the uses deemed as acceptable this would cause more harm than its use as domestic garden.

The site is a continuation of the existing domestic curtilage of Cattlewash, it extends along the southern boundary of, and finishes in line with, the garden of the property known as Ilbeare. Whilst the change of use of the land makes this application 'development'. The development would not alter the lay of the land and so there would be very limited, if any, visual impact. Whilst there would be a loss of agricultural land, this area of agricultural land is Grade 3 which is regarded by Natural England as land with moderate limitations which affect the choice crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Nonetheless, the applicant owns this area of land and it is not, has not been, and won't be used for the growing of crops whilst it remains in their control. As such, the loss of this area of Grade 3 Agricultural land would not be significant.

The fact that the applicant keeps dogs on this land cannot be a material planning consideration as the keeping of dogs is a domestic use and therefore this application will consider the amenity impacts of the use of this land as domestic only. The land abuts the boundary of the garden at Ilbeare. It is not considered that the use of the site as domestic would impinge upon their amenities. It is however considered necessary to restrict specific permitted development rights in order to protect their future amenities. It is not considered that this extension of domestic curtilage would have negative implications for the residents of wider area.

In regard to the environment and Policy CP8, the proposal does not drastically change the land therefore it is not considered that the change of use to domestic would harm the environment, and as mentioned previously, it abuts the boundary of other domestic curtilages so would not harm the character of this area.

In regard to Policy CP1, the use of the site as domestic would not intensify climate change issues.

The site is located within Flood Zone 2 and whilst its use as domestic is considered acceptable, building for residential purposes is considered to be unlikely and would be subject to consultation with the Environmental Agency.

The comments from the Public Rights of Way Officer are noted and the infromative that has been requested has been added to ensure that the development does not

affect the right of way.

In light of the above assessment it is not possible to identify any harm in terms material planning considerations and therefore the application is recommended for approval.

In preparing this report the planning officer has considered fully the implications and requirements of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Contact Officer: Abigail James